# EXHIBIT 1

FOR THE EASTE	STATES DISTRICT COURT RN DISTRICT OF TEXAS R DIVISION
HILLTOP CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE,	) )
Plaintiff,	) )
vs.	) CASE NO. 6:21-CV-00322-JCB
CHURCH MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,	) ) )
Defendant.	)

ORAL VIDEOTAPED ZOOM DEPOSITION

JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE

May 26, 2022

ORAL VIDEOTAPED ZOOM DEPOSITION OF JAMES MAXWELL

JUDGE, produced as a witness at the instance of the

Defendant and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled

and numbered cause on the 26th day of May, 2022, from

9:36 a.m. to 11:34 a.m., via Zoom, before Debra K.

Zebert, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the

State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype

machine, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or

attached hereto.



```
Page 4
 1
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                    Okay.
                                           We're on the
 2 record at 9:36.
                    Today's date is May 26th, 2022.
  is the video deposition of James Maxwell Judge, in the
  matter of Hilltop Church of the Nazarene v. Church
 5
  Mutual Insurance Company, Case No. 6:21-CV-00322-JCB.
  Will counsel state your appearances for the record.
 7
                 MR. CIRCELLI: Vinny Circelli, on behalf
  of Plaintiff.
8
9
                 MS. BRUNING: Lindsey Bruning and Kiri
10 Deonarine, on behalf of Church Mutual Insurance Company.
11
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you very much.
12 Will the reporter please swear in the witness.
13
                 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. I have a brief
14 read-on.
             I'm Kathy Zebert, the reporter for today's
               Do counsel stipulate and agree that I may
15 deposition.
16 administer the oath remotely and that the oath so given
17 is valid for all purposes of this deposition?
18
                 (All counsel stipulate.)
19
                     JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE,
20 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
                          EXAMINATION
  BY MS. BRUNING:
22
23
            All right.
                        Mr. Judge, my name is Lindsey
       0.
24 Bruning.
            I work for Church Mutual Insurance Company in
25 this case. We've not met before, correct?
```



1

2

3

6

13

16

_		_	_
Da	a	<b>~</b> 3	2
Гα	u	<b>.</b> .	_

- Would it have been Clayton Fourniquet? Q.
- Α. It may have been.
- Did you speak with anybody or interview anybody Q. 4 from the church regarding the damage or the date of 5 loss?
- No, I just -- he allowed us into the building and showed us any places where he had water stains on the ceiling, where -- the evidence of a leak coming through from the roof. And we went through the 10 building, and he kind of -- he directed us to those places and knew where the light switches were and the doors, and so he was very helpful. 12
- But in terms of specifically discussing Q. Okay. 14 the date of loss or the cause of damage, you didn't talk 15 to anybody from the church regarding that?
- He may have made a general reference to Α. No. it, but to my knowledge, he didn't have any specific 18 | information.
- 19 So when you went out at your Okay. 20 inspection, do you start with the exterior, the 21 interior -- interior? How do you -- or how did you 22 conduct the inspection in this case?
- 23 That's usually what we do. We'll photograph Α. the exterior of the building and -- and look at that, 24 and then we'll -- the elevations. Then we'll get up on 25



3

4

5

13

Page 33 1 the roof, and then we'll come down and we'll go and look on the interior.

- Okay. So exterior elevations, then the roof and then the interior?
  - Α. Yes, ma'am.
- All right. So I'm going to go into your 6 0. report, into your evaluation, conclusions and repair 8 recommendations. You concluded that "multiple recent 9 hail penetrations consistent with the March 2019 storm That was one of your conclusions; is that 11 correct?
- 12 Yes, ma'am. Α.
- Okay. Can you explain specifically the damage Q. 14 that you identified to the roofing?
- 15 Α. We found hail damage. We found wind damage, and we found prior repairs to the roof in multiple 17 locations. And in my opinion, the shingles were too 18 brittle to repair. I don't think the roof was 19 repairable just because of the brittleness of the 20 shingles.
- 21 And in terms of the hail damage, can you 0. 22 describe the damage that you saw, that you identified? 23 What -- how do you know that it's hail damage?
- 24 We look for bruises, we look for missing Α. granules, we look for depression. Any of those



	Page 37
1	a chalk circle around it. You can see where the bottom
2	corner of that shingle has has been broken off by an
3	impact of some sort, and we would attribute that to
4	hail. We've got wind where some of the missing shingles
5	are there, the drip edge in the top right-hand
6	photograph. And then the the area there on the
7	bottom left hand, some more shingles have been unsealed.
8	And that's up near the ridge where it's very typical,
9	that's where you have the most wind. And that's a
10	pretty common thing on any kind of storm that's you
11	can have high winds, but it's going to be the highest
12	near the ridge.
13	Q. So this this damage, you're referring
14	specifically to this
15	A. That area, yes, ma'am.
16	Q. That area right there. Okay. And is were
17	those shingles repaired?
18	A. I can't tell you that.
19	Q. Okay. Because they're a different color than
20	the rest of the roof. So I was trying to figure out why
21	they're a different color. You don't know anything
22	about that?
23	A. I you know, there's any number of reasons
24	that could lead to that, and but that's we've got
25	shingles at a place that's susceptible to high wind, and



1	Page 42 do you rule out other causes of these this impact,
2	like in the lower left-hand corner?
3	A. I don't know anything that's going to cause
4	that other than hail damage.
5	Q. You don't know any other cause of anything
6	else that could cause impact damage other than hail?
7	MR. CIRCELLI: Objection, form.
8	A. If someone walked up the valley, they could
9	cause damage via foot traffic, but I don't think it
10	would present that way. It would be a tear and wouldn't
11	cut out a crescent like that. That's like a golf ball
12	hit the roof or a hailstone, and it hit right on the
13	edge of the shingle.
14	BY MS. BRUNING:
15	Q. Okay. Have you looked have you seen the
16	the expert report from Church Mutual's engineer or
17	expert that Church Mutual hired from EFI Global?
18	A. I've seen EFI Global reports before. I don't
19	recall whether I saw one on this property or not.
20	Q. And he reported in on this property, he
21	reported very widespread granule loss throughout the
22	roof and attributed it to, you know, basically, it's a
23	15-plus-year roof and discussed ventilation and things
24	like that and blistering from from excessive heat.
25	Did you have you done any analysis of any



1	Page 43 causes of the damage that you saw up there in those
2	terms? Did you look at any of those types of causes?
3	MR. CIRCELLI: Objection, form.
4	A. Well, it does have ventilation. We'd have to
5	have an engineer to determine whether the ventilation it
6	has is adequate, but it does have ventilation. So I
7	wouldn't think you would have excessive heat that would
8	have damaged the shingles, but they do live in an
9	environment where the heat is they're sustained to an
10	abrasive brace environment, heat being one of the
11	components. Excessive is another issue.
12	As far as, you know, the granules being lost,
13	you can have scouring from hail. You're going to lose
14	granules over time. That's just a natural process of
15	the aging of the shingles. And but you can
16	accelerate that with hail, even small, pea-size hail
17	that wouldn't cause the impact damage that we have here.
18	We refer to that as scouring, and when you have an
19	excessive amount of granules that are lost, you expose
20	the asphalt, and it would accelerate that deterioration.
21	So scouring, small hail, can be just as damaging to a
22	shingle roof as as what the larger hail is.
23	BY MS. BRUNING:
24	Q. And but did you do any kind of analysis to
25	rule out any of these other any other causes in terms



	Page 71
1	I, JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE, have read the foregoing
2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is
3	true and correct, except as noted above.
4	
5	
6	JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE
7	
8	THE STATE OF)
9	COUNTY OF)
10	
11	Before me,, on this day
12	personally appeared JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE, known to me or
13	proved to me on the oath of or through
14	(description of identity card
15	or other document) to be the person whose name is
16	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged
17	to me that he/she executed the same for the purpose and
18	consideration therein expressed.
19	Given under my hand and seal of office on this
20	day of
21	
22	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
24	THE STATE OF
25	My Commission Expires:



	Page 72
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
9	foregoing is true and correct.
10	
11	
12	JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE
13	
14	
15	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned
16	authority, by the witness, JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE, on this
17	the, day of
18	
19	
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
21	THE STATE OF
22	
23	My Commission Expires:
24	
25	



1	Page 73 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES MAXWELL JUDGE
3	May 26, 2022
4	
5	I, the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter in
6	and for the State of Texas, certify that the facts
7	stated in the foregoing pages are true and correct.
8	I further certify that I am neither attorney or
9	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any parties to
10	the action in which this testimony is taken and,
11	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any
12	counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
13	interested in the action.
14	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of
15	office on this the 10th day of June,
16	2022.
17	
18	
19	1 John H. Litt
20	
21	Debra K. Zebert, BS, RPR, CSR RPR No. 839015
22	Expiration: 12/31/22
23	
24	
25	

